

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,810 03/26/2004		Hiroshi Ikegami	040894-7020	7939	
9629 7590 02/16/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW		•	BURCH, M	BURCH, MELODY M	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			02/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/809,810		IKEGAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melody M. Burch	3683	

Before the Fining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melody M. Burch	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i ⊏ below),	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beaution appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: see number 11. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	1.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8-12</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-5 and 14-16</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-5 and 74-76</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6,7,13 and 17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18 and 19.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: modifying the claim language to specifically recite a "hand brake operating apparatus" raises a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.

Melody M. Burch
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3683

2/13/07